## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:05-cr-20
v.	)	Honorable Gordon J. Quist
RALPH THOMPSON,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on December 28, 2005, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Ralph Thompson entered a plea of guilty to Counts 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 52 of the Fourth Superseding Indictment, in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. Counts 9, 11, and 13 charge him with distribution of heroin in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a). Count 52 charges him with possession with intent to distribute heroin, in violation of the same statute. Counts 10 and 12 charge him with distribution of cocaine in violation of the same statute. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant

Case 1:05-cr-00020-RJJ ECF No. 285, PageID.677 Filed 12/29/05 Page 2 of 2

understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient

basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and

52 of the Fourth Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and

that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance

of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are

specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: December 29, 2005

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -